

**TEMPLATE FOR SUBMISSION OF TEXTUAL PROPOSALS DURING THE 29TH SESSION: COUNCIL -
PART II**

Please fill out one form for each textual proposal which your delegation(s) wish(es) to amend, add or delete and send to council@isa.org.jm.

1. Name(s) of Delegation(s) making the proposal:

United Kingdom

2. Please indicate the relevant provision to which the textual proposal refers.

53ter (2) - Restriction on Mining Discharges

3. Kindly provide the proposed amendments to the regulation or standard or guideline in the text box below, using the “track changes” function in Microsoft Word. Please only reproduce the parts of the text that are being amended or deleted.

Where the consolidated text currently contains tracked changes, these have been reverted to plain black text for ease of reading. UK text proposals are only presented in tracked changes function in Microsoft Word.

2. Paragraph 1 [Notwithstanding paragraph 1, a Contractor may make such ~~above shall not apply if such~~ disposal, dumping or discharge into the Marine Environment [where it] is necessary for the safety of the vessel or Installation or the safety of human life, provided that such disposal, dumping or discharge is conducted so as to [prevent minimize the possibility of] harm to [human life and to] the Marine-Environment. If Harm to the Marine Environment occurs as a result of disposal, dumping or discharge, the Contractor shall, [~~upon~~ after ensuring safe working conditions are in place being restored] monitor, mitigate and [manage ~~remediate~~] the impacts of such harm, and shall report forthwith about such disposal, dumping or discharge to the Authority. [Such disposal, dumping or discharge shall constitute a notifiable event under Regulation 34 and Appendix 1.]

4. Please indicate the rationale for the proposal. [150-word limit]

53ter (2): The UK is supportive of this provision and prefer to 2alt, but propose some alternative drafting to the second sentence to highlight that some monitoring will likely be unaffected by changes to ‘safe working conditions’ that caused the need for disposal, dumping or discharge e.g. some forms of remote monitoring. In other words, not all types of monitoring will need safe working conditions ‘restored’ as many are unlikely to be affected, so ‘ensuring’ safe working conditions are ‘still’ in place for those unaffected types of monitoring is required, as opposed to ‘restoring’.