

<b>Document reviewed</b>		
<b>Title of the draft being reviewed:</b>	Plan of Work for exploitation	
<b>Contact information</b>		
<b>Surname:</b>	Kumar	
<b>Given Name:</b>	Dr. Vijay	
<b>Government (if applicable):</b>	Government of India	
<b>Organization (if applicable):</b>	Ministry of Earth Sciences	
<b>Country:</b>	India	
<b>E-mail:</b>	<a href="mailto:vijay.kumar66@nic.in">vijay.kumar66@nic.in</a>	
<b>General Comments</b>		
Annex II – 30 days is too short of time to submit revised plans or response. It should be at least 60 days		
<b>Specific Comments</b>		
Page	Line	Comment
7,AnnexI Point 6	50-51	More Clarity is needed on ‘Boundaries of the area under application’. Is the applicant free to decide the time span of mining under the plan to determine the size and hence the boundaries of the area?
7,AnnexI Point 6	50-51	What is the criteria of defining non-contiguous mining areas ?
9,AnnexII	53-54	Any time limit between approval of plan of work by ISA/ finalisation of contract and submission of Feasibility Study by the contractor to SG?( FS needs to be submitted at least 12 months prior to production).
<i>Additional rows can be added to this table by selecting “Table” followed by “insert” and “rows below”</i>		