



Template for the review of the draft standards and guidelines associated with the draft regulations on exploitation of mineral resources in the Area

I. Background

1. The draft regulations on exploitation of mineral resources in the Area ([ISBA/25/C/WP.1](#)) require that certain issues are addressed in accordance with, or taking into account, standards and guidelines to be developed by the organs of the Authority. The standards will be adopted by the Council and will be legally binding on Contractors and the Authority, whereas the guidelines will be issued by the Legal and Technical Commission or the Secretary-General and will be recommendatory in nature.
2. Stakeholder consultation is an integral part of the process decided upon by the Commission for the development of the standards and guidelines ([ISBA/25/C/19/Add.1](#)).
3. The Legal and Technical Commission will consider the comments received through stakeholder consultation during its current session.
4. The drafts include a cover page containing background and contextual information on the approach taken by the Legal and Technical Commission in developing each standard and guidelines. Please note that stakeholder comments are not sought on this cover note.
5. Issues of format and consistency across the standards and guidelines will be reviewed by the secretariat and the Legal and Technical Commission once the content of the various standards and guidelines is finalized following stakeholder consultation.

II. Submitting Comments

6. To ensure that your comments are given due consideration, please send them by e-mail to ola@isa.org.im, at your earliest convenience but **no later than the date announced on the ISA website for the relevant draft standards and guidelines**.
7. When submitting comments, please adhere to the following guidance as much as possible:
 - a. Please provide all comments in writing and in an MS Word .doc or .docx format using the table provided below.
 - b. The table format allows for an unlimited number of comments to be added. To add more comments, you may add more rows.

- c. Please provide full contact information for the individual/Government/organization submitting the comments.
 - d. Please avoid commenting on issues related to format, grammar, spelling or punctuation, unless it affects the overall meaning of the text, as the document will be formatted and edited when the final draft is prepared by the Legal and Technical Commission.
 - e. To facilitate the revision process please be as specific as possible in your comments. In areas where you feel additional or alternative text or information is required, please suggest what this text may look like or what information should be included.
 - f. Text may be copied from the draft into the table if stakeholders wish to use "track changes" in editing text (this is encouraged to ensure accuracy and avoid numbering errors).
 - g. If you refer to additional sources of information, please include these with your comments when possible or provide a complete reference or hyperlink.
 - h. All review comments will be posted on the ISA website, unless otherwise requested by the submitting entity.
8. Should you have any questions regarding the review process, please contact ola@isa.org.jm.

III. Template for Comments

- 9. Please use the review template below when providing comments.
- 10. Line and page numbers have been provided in the drafts. Please use these as a reference as illustrated in the table below.

TEMPLATE FOR COMMENTS

<i>Document reviewed</i>	
Title of the draft being reviewed:	Draft Guidelines for the preparation of an environmental impact statement
<i>Contact information</i>	
Surname:	Pognonec
Given Name:	Alexia
Government (if applicable):	
Organization (if applicable):	
Country:	France

E-mail:		alexia.pognonec@diplomatie.gouv.fr
General Comments		
Specific Comments		
Page	Line	Comment
1	61	Is the document “Guidelines on the Expected Scope and Standard of Baseline Data Collection” the same as the “Guidelines for the establishment of baseline environmental data”? Or is it another document? If so the case, please change the name.
16	729	Please add the word “negative” before the term “effects” : “how the Contractor will minimize health, safety, environmental, and socioeconomic <u>negative</u> effects of the intended product(s)”

Document reviewed		
Title of the draft being reviewed :		Draft guidelines for the establishment of baseline environmental data
General Comments		
In accordance with Annex IV of ISBA/25/C/WP.1, devoted to the environmental impact statement, the description of the existing physicochemical environment should contain a description of applicable natural hazards for the site including volcanism, seismic activity, cyclone/hurricane trends, etc...		
In accordance with the template for environmental impact statement (Annex IV of ISBA/25/C/WP.1), the baseline environmental data should include the description of the existing socioeconomic and sociocultural environment		
Specific Comments		
Page	Line	Comment
49	1929 to 1934	Please add the flowing terms in blue : “Microbial DNA should be obtained by the Phenol Chloroform DNA Extraction method or DNA extraction kits, and spectrophotometry (Qbit, nanodrop) and DNA agarose gel electrophoresis used to detect the DNA purity and integrity, respectively. Qualified microbial DNAs should be sequenced in high-throughput sequencing platform (e.g. Illumina Hiseq X platform, NovaSeq, PacBio Sequelll platform, Nanopore (MinION, GridION et PromethION) and MiSeq for metabarcoding). Additional amplicon sequencing should be performed for important marker genes (e.g. 16S rRNA gene, <u>fuctionnal genes</u>).”
49	1935 to 1939	Please add the flowing terms in blue : “Microbial RNAs should be obtained by RNA extraction kits or similar reagents <u>as soon as possible after sample recovery</u> , and spectrophotometry and RNA agarose gel electrophoresis used to detect the RNA purity and

		integrity, respectively.”
49	1940 to 1943	Please add the flowing terms in blue : “There is currently no standard method for analyses of high-throughput sequencing. The commonly accepted methods mentioned are FastQC for quality control; SPAdes for assembly of sequencing reads; MetaBAT2 for contig binning; DADA2 for ASV generation , BLAST+ for sequence alignment and gene annotation; CheckM for assembly and binning quality assessment (Breitwieser et al., 2017).”

Document reviewed		
Title of the draft being reviewed:	Draft Guidelines for the preparation of environmental management and monitoring plans	
General Comments		
Specific Comments		
Page	Line	Comment
7	298	Please replace “non-significant” by “significant”

Comments should be sent by e-mail to ola@isa.org.im