

		more in the text.
3	55	Since this guarantee also covers post-closure monitoring and management of residual environmental effects, we think this guarantee will always need to go beyond the terms of the exploitation contract.
4	89	We propose to delete 'unexpected', because it mainly concerns 'likely' (expected) costs, though the exact amount is still unknown when the Guarantee is established.
5	96	We propose to delete 'in a conservative manner'. Reason: 'estimate... in a conservative manner' contradicts 'assuming the highest reasonable cost'.
5	125	We propose to transfer the examples of the possible forms in the Guideline to this para. This would enhance readability.
5	128	Is it possible to give some examples of cost estimation tools here, in order to give an idea to the applicants/contractors on what we expect from them?
7	176	We think that this Declaration is obsolete, since the commitment by the Applicant to comply with the applicable rules, including the ones on EPG, is part of the application for a Plan of Work (art. 7 exploitation regulations).
7	192	(a) and (b) of 36 are already assessed during the assessment of the application for a Plan of Work. It seems unnecessary to repeat this here.
12	314	We are not sure whether we still need this Guideline, since part of it can be incorporated in the Standard of appendix 1 and part of it only copies what has been established elsewhere.
<i>Additional rows can be added to this table by selecting "Table" followed by "insert" and "rows below"</i>		

Comments should be sent by e-mail to ola@isa.org.im